

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/783,914	02/20/2004	D. Carl Christensen	· CARR-P002	2089
27268	7590 12/01/2006		EXAMINER	
BAKER & DANIELS LLP 300 NORTH MERIDIAN STREET			FIDEI, DAVID	
SUITE 2700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
INDIANAPO	LIS, IN 46204	•	3728	
			DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s) CHRISTENSEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summers	10/783,914					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David T. Fidei	3728				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ARANDO	ON. timely filed on the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 S	entember 2006					
_	action is non-final.					
,	prosecution as to the merits is					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	expanto quayio, 1000 c.b. 11,	400 0.0. 210.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 39-44 is/are rejected.	· · · 					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement					
	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 February 2004</u> is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ation No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau		ŭ				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ved.				
Attoch(-)						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	n □ · .	(DTO 440)				
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal	Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3728

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-38 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on September 22, 2006.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kanai (US Patent no. 6,923,324). A method is disclosed of packaging a three dimensional product comprising mounting the product 14 to a support pad 12 having faces and edges as shown in figure 1. A stretch material 16 is wrapped around a front of the product and to the top and bottom edges of the pad fixing the product to the pad within an area bounded by the edges as shown in figures 2 and 3.

As to claim 40 the product 14 is mounted entirely within the perimeter of the pad.

4. Claims 39-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Carroll Jr. (Pub. no. US 2005/0045512 A1). A method of packaging a three dimensional product as claimed is disclosed by Carroll Jr. figures 1, 2, 7, 11 and 12.

Application/Control Number: 10/783,914

connecting of the metal band 48 at 50.

Art Unit: 3728

5. Claims 39 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by over Voytko (US Patent no. 3,403,778) in view of. Voytko discloses a method of packaging a three dimensional product 24 where the product is wrapped on a support pad 36 in as much as is claimed. There is no difference between the claimed subject matter and Voytko as the step of wrapping stretch wrap material around the front of the product is met by the wrapping and

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Voytko as applied to claim 39 above, and further in view of Chevrette (US Patent no.5,269,422). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the package of Voytko by placing a plurality of products into a tray as suggested by Chevrette figure 1, in order to provided a greater carrying capacity of products for shipment.

REPLY BY APPLICANT OR PATENT OWNER TO THIS OFFICE ACTION

8. Applicant is duly reminded that a complete response must satisfy the requirements of 37 C.F. R. 1.111, including: "The reply must present arguments pointing out the specific distinctions believed to render the claims, including any newly presented claims, patentable over any applied references. A general allegation that the claims "define a patentable invention" without specifically pointing out how the language of the claims patentably distinguishes them from the references does not comply with the requirements of this section. Moreover, "The

Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

prompt development of a clear Issue requires that the replies of the applicant meet the objections to and rejections of the claims." Applicant should also specifically point out the support for any amendments made to the disclosure. See MPEP 2163.06 II(A), MPEP 2163.06 and MPEP 714.02. The "disclosure" includes the claims, the specification and the drawings.

If no amendments are made to claims as applicant or patent owner believes the claims are patentable without further modification, the reply must distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the examiner 's action and must respond to every ground of objection and rejection in the prior Office Action in the same vain as given above, 37 CFR 1.111 (b) & (c), M.P.E.P. 714.02.

The examiner also points out, due to the change in practice as affecting final rejections, older decisions on questions of prematureness of final rejection or admission of subsequent amendments do not necessarily reflect present practice. "Under present practice, second or any subsequent actions on the merits shall be final, except where the examiner introduces a new ground of rejection that is neither necessitated by applicant's amendment of the claims nor based on information submitted in an information disclosure statement filed during the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.97(c)" (emphasis mine), see MPEP 706.07(a).

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David T. Fidei whose telephone number is (571) 272-4553. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3728

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David T. Fidei
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3728

dtf November 21, 2006